1	\mathbf{O}
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	IAN MITCHEL KING, CASE NO. CV 11-05872 MWF (RZ)
12	Petitioner,)
13) ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND vs.) RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED
14	DANIEL PARAMO, WARDEN,) STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE)
15	Respondent.
16	·
17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on
18	file, and the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the
19	Court has engaged in a <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner
20	has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate
21	Judge.
22	
23	DATED: August 22, 2012
24	Michae W. Estaras
25	MICHAEL W FITZGERALD
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	
28	